## Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	Δ	

LIVECAREER LTD,

Plaintiff,

v.

SU JIA TECHNOLOGIES LTD., DBA RESUMEGENIUS.COM, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-03336-JST

## **SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	7/10/15
Fact discovery cut-off	3/11/16
Expert disclosures	4/1/16
Expert rebuttal	4/22/16
Expert discovery cut-off	5/6/16
Deadline to file dispositive motions	5/27/16
Pretrial conference statement due	8/9/16
Pretrial conference	8/19/16 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	9/12/16 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Eight

## Case 3:14-cv-03336-JST Document 60 Filed 03/31/15 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California

The Court sets a further case management conference on June 3, 2015 at 2:00 p.m. A joint case management statement is due by May 20, 2015. In their meet-and-confer efforts and joint case management statement, the parties are encouraged to focus particular attention on the most effective means of alternative dispute resolution, because the Court will determine an appropriate ADR means and deadline at the June 3 conference.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at <u>cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders</u>.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 31, 2015

JON S. TIGAR United States District Judge